|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Meeting Logistics** | |
| **Organizer:** | Marci Roth |
| **Time:** | 1:00 PM- 2.00 PM EST |
| **Date:** | December 17,2020 |
| **Location:** | Remote- Zoom Meeting |
| **Next Meeting:** | TBD |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Attendees** | | |
| Dana Radford – KY | Duane Fontenot—APHSA | Mellisa Cheek- KY |
| Saurabh- KY | Martha Dolan - RI | Kimberley Chambers – Delaware |
| Praveen Kumarapuram - NC | Sam Brandenburg - Indiana | Denise Ceder- Texas |
| Mary Griffin – Colorado | Charles Gentemann, MD | Tom Unger - Minnesota |
| Robert Haughton – WV | Laurie Baker – TN | Suresh – MA |
| Anandhi Rajamani – AL | Andrea Mitchell- WV | Eric Nixon - CT |
| Nicki McTavish - Missouri | Mike Casey-DE | Sharon Curry - MA |
| Mike Palmer – Minnesota | Christel Davis - DE | Sheley Hayes – Indiana |
| Marci Roth - APHSA | Connie Rogers - Alabama | Vonetta Martin – MD |
| Srini Venkatesan Maine (Deloitte) | Karen Austin, Louisiana | Sara Hill, Texas |
| Marci Roth | Raghu Govindaraj | Susmiitaa Linga |
| Vikram Bhatia | Swathi Reddy Duvvuri |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Meeting Objectives:** | | |
| To discuss on the technical updates, existing issues, resolutions, the IEDP update and release timeline for Neice 2.0. | | |
|  | | |
| **Agenda** | | |
| * Technical Issues and Updates * Character Limit for the Text Field * Blank XML Issue: KY * Existing states updates: ID, IN, KY, MO, NC, OH * Testing phase: MN * Development phase: AL, AZ, LA, ME, WV * Begin Development in 2021 or later: CT, CO, TN, TX, WA * Planning phase: DE, CO * IEPD Update and Release timeline (Target: April 2021) * Secure Document Delivery Portal * Other issues? | | |
|  | | |
| Agenda Item | Discussion | Action Items |
| Technical  Issues  and Updates | KY raised issue on the character limit in the text field in the technical specification document. They were not able to the load the transaction into their database tables.  Question 1: If that much text should be uploaded as attachment or should be sent as a document  **Tetrus: This is better practice. We can give the advice to the states, but it is up to the states on how they send it.**  Question 2: How did the clearing house accepted the transmittal from Alaska  **TETRUS: The data size limit is currently a guidance, but we do not have a max limitation in XML.**  Question 3: Does the text size limit change in NEICE 2.0?  **TETRUS: We will look at restricting it in NEICE Clearinghouse with 2.0 .**  KY mentioned According to the IEPD 1.4.2.2, the initial charact limit 1024 character then later it was changes to 8000 character and later the table schema was being modified by them to accommodate 8000 character.  Considered the Var char Field not in the XML.  KY raised a concern if this character limit could be addressed in Neice 2.0  MO expressed their concern with respect to the character limit as they would lose the information as they have the strict 2000-character limit and the truncated message cannot be retrieved.  **The possible two methods of handling this issue.**   1. **Either by restricting or by limiting the no of characters** 2. **Considering the hard limits in the clearing house itself. - but this can be only considered in the extreme cases where there is absolutely needed to limit, or any business need to restrict the characters.**   **We are open for recommendation/suggestions from states on how to handle in NCH.**  **Marci – We can include as part of the training to keep 2- 3 paragraphs in comments sections. No restrictions to be applied in NCH as of now.**  Indiana raised a concern over an existing issue – case already exists. Even the case is closed on both the states, when new case is initiated its till throws an error as case already exists.  **Tetrus: In the current functionality, the case is closed in NEICE Clearinghouse when a 100B Closure is sent to the other state. The are scenarios where the case is sent to the other state, without a formal closure, a new case is created and sent to NCH. The case is still Active in NEICE Clearinghouse which responds with Case already exists.**  KY mentioned the Blank XML issue. They are researching on where they were missing in retrieving the transmittals. For now, they are checking with Tetrus support to check the message type of the transmittal and the missing information.  MN would be Onboarded by end of January and are in the UAT.  NJ would be onboarded as MCMS state on January 11th 2021. |  |
| IEPD Update | * IEPD Release timeline: April 2021 Link for the Final IEPD submitted: https://support.neice.us/support/discussions/topics/6000061955 |  |
| Secure Document Delivery Portal - Update | * Tetrus provided information on the advantages for the Clearinghouse states for sending them to the states not onboarded on to Neice using SDP and how the transmittals would be directed to through the Neice clearing house to the MOU states. |  |